UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Hel	lario Od	choa-Bejarano	Case Number:	11-6576M	
	ordance v ablished		ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a c	detention hearing has l	peen held. I conclude that the following facts	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pendin trial in this case.					
				DINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.	S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is life imprisonm	nent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum term	of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed after the odescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-	lefendant had been co ·(C), or comparable sta	invicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offe	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
	(3)	A period imprisor	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from isonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	reasona	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttably assure the safety of (an)other pers I this presumption.	able presumption that on(s) and the commu	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not	
			Alternati	ve Findings		
	(1)	There is	probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed	an offense	
			for which a maximum term of imprison	ment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed in	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	(2)	The def	endant has not rebutted the presump ns will reasonably assure the appearan	tion established by fir	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.	
			Alternati	ve Findings		
	(1)		a serious risk that the defendant will flee earance of the defendant as required.	ee; no condition or com	nbination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

	evidence as to danger that:			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custon Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
The d	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
In add	altion: lefendant submitted the issue of detention.			

time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: November 7, 2011

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge